

FY 2023

PA-503 Luzerne County Continuum of Care

1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool

Table of Contents for Attachment

Starting Page	Document Name
1-7	2023 Luzerne CoC- PA 503 CoC Renewal Project Scoring Standards

2023 Luzerne CoC – PA-503 CoC Renewal Project Scoring Standards

#	Renewal Evaluation Criteria	2023 Benchmarks	Points	Notes	Project Data Calculation														
TIME PERIOD FOR DATA REVIEW: Most Recent APR submission																			
1. PERFORMANCE CRITERIA – PSH, RRH, SSO: 25 POINTS, TH: 30 POINTS																			
1.1	EXIT TO PH DESTINATION: a. PSH : Percentage of all participants who remain in PSH or exited to permanent housing b. RRH/TH/SSO : Percentage of all participant leavers who exited to Permanent Housing	90%	MAX POINTS = 15 <table><tr><td>Point s</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>15</td><td>90%-100%%</td></tr><tr><td>10</td><td>80%-89%</td></tr><tr><td>5</td><td>70%-79%</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>60%-69%</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>50%-59%</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td><49%</td></tr></table>	Point s		15	90%-100%%	10	80%-89%	5	70%-79%	3	60%-69%	1	50%-59%	0	<49%	APR Number and percent Excludes “Deceased” and persons exiting to Institutional Settings.	For PSH Projects: Data Source APR : Q5a number of stayers and number of positive exits in Q23a and Q23b For RRH and TH Projects: Data Source APR- number of positive exits in Q23a and Q23b. Not applicable for CYC program as has no housing goal. Only CEO SHP Case Management and DVSC See Yourself Succeed , both SSO, have housing goals.
Point s																			
15	90%-100%%																		
10	80%-89%																		
5	70%-79%																		
3	60%-69%																		
1	50%-59%																		
0	<49%																		
1.2	INCREASED or MAINTAINED INCOME, ANY SOURCE: % of all adult participants who increased or maintained income from any source from entry to exit/follow up (leavers and stayers)	85%	MAX POINTS = 10 <table><tr><td>Points</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>10</td><td>85%+</td></tr><tr><td>7</td><td>75%-84%</td></tr><tr><td>5</td><td>65%-74%</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>55%-64%</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td><55%</td></tr></table>	Points		10	85%+	7	75%-84%	5	65%-74%	3	55%-64%	0	<55%	Data Source: APR Q17 and 18	APR Q 17 and 18: Any Income Change – Income Source- by Entry and Latest Status/Exit; - number and percent		
Points																			
10	85%+																		
7	75%-84%																		
5	65%-74%																		
3	55%-64%																		
0	<55%																		
1.3	LENGTH OF STAY/LENGTH OF TIME HOMELESS – TH ONLY Need to determine the average number of days for all TH projects to then determine the least and most	TH ONLY- leavers = 315.75 days stayers= 252.5 days total average both 568.25	MAX POINTS = 5 <table><tr><td>Points</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>5</td><td>Least # of Days</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>average</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>Most # of Days</td></tr></table>	Points		5	Least # of Days	3	average	0	Most # of Days	Data Source APR	APR Q22b for both leavers and stayers in project How do the TH projects compare to the average number of days (for both leaver and stayers.						
Points																			
5	Least # of Days																		
3	average																		
0	Most # of Days																		
2. ADDRESS HUD PRIORITIES – 27 POINTS																			

2023 Luzerne CoC – PA-503 CoC Renewal Project Scoring Standards

#	Renewal Evaluation Criteria	2023 Benchmarks	Points	Notes	Project Data Calculation														
2.1	COC PARTICIPATION: Percent of meetings attended	95%	<div>MAX POINTS = 15</div> <table><tr><th>Points</th><th></th></tr><tr><td>15</td><td>90%-100%%</td></tr><tr><td>10</td><td>80%-89%</td></tr><tr><td>5</td><td>70%-79%</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>60% -69%</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>50%-59%</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td><49%</td></tr></table>	Points		15	90%-100%%	10	80%-89%	5	70%-79%	3	60% -69%	1	50%-59%	0	<49%	Data Source: Meeting sign in sheets	% of meetings from participation list sent from CoC secretary
Points																			
15	90%-100%%																		
10	80%-89%																		
5	70%-79%																		
3	60% -69%																		
1	50%-59%																		
0	<49%																		
2.2	NON-CASH MAINSTREAM BENEFITS: Percent with 1+ source	75%+	<table><tr><th>Points</th><th></th></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>75%+</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>35% to 74%</td></tr><tr><td>.5</td><td>Below 35%</td></tr></table>	Points		2	75%+	1	35% to 74%	.5	Below 35%	Data Source: APR	APR - Q20b- total of line 2 and 3, columns C and D divided by total of column B						
Points																			
2	75%+																		
1	35% to 74%																		
.5	Below 35%																		
2.3	NON-CASE MAINSTREAM BENEFITS- HEALTH INSURANCE: Percent obtained or maintained health insurance	75%+	<table><tr><th>Points</th><th></th></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>75%+</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>35% to 74%</td></tr><tr><td>.5</td><td>Below 35%</td></tr></table>	Points		2	75%+	1	35% to 74%	.5	Below 35%	Data Source: APR	APR - Q21- line 16 column C & D divided by total of column B						
Points																			
2	75%+																		
1	35% to 74%																		
.5	Below 35%																		
2.4a	PRIORITIZE SEVERITY OF NEED: Percent of adults who had no income at project entry		<div>MAX POINTS = 2</div> <table><tr><th>Points</th><th></th></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>85%</td></tr><tr><td>1.5</td><td>75% -84%</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>65%-74%</td></tr><tr><td>.5</td><td>55% -64%</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td><55%</td></tr></table>	Points		2	85%	1.5	75% -84%	1	65%-74%	.5	55% -64%	0	<55%	Data Source: APR	APR Q16- column B, line 2 divided by column B, line 14 total		
Points																			
2	85%																		
1.5	75% -84%																		
1	65%-74%																		
.5	55% -64%																		
0	<55%																		
2.4b	PRIORITIZE SEVERITY OF NEED: Percent with any Disability		<div>MAX POINTS = 2</div> <table><tr><th>Points</th><th></th></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>85%</td></tr><tr><td>1.5</td><td>75% -84%</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>65%-74%</td></tr><tr><td>.5</td><td>55% -64%</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td><55%</td></tr></table>	Points		2	85%	1.5	75% -84%	1	65%-74%	.5	55% -64%	0	<55%	Data Source: APR	APR Q13a2- column B, sum of lines 3,4,5 divided by column b, line 9		
Points																			
2	85%																		
1.5	75% -84%																		
1	65%-74%																		
.5	55% -64%																		
0	<55%																		

2023 Luzerne CoC – PA-503 CoC Renewal Project Scoring Standards

#	Renewal Evaluation Criteria	2023 Benchmarks	Points	Notes	Project Data Calculation												
2.4c	PRIORITIZE SEVERITY OF NEED: Percent with Substance Use at entry		<table><tr><th>Points</th><th></th></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>85%</td></tr><tr><td>1.5</td><td>75%-84%</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>65%-74%</td></tr><tr><td>.5</td><td>55% -64%</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td><55%</td></tr></table>	Points		2	85%	1.5	75%-84%	1	65%-74%	.5	55% -64%	0	<55%	Data Source: APR	APR Q13a1 - column B, sum of lines 3,4,5 divided by total of persons served
Points																	
2	85%																
1.5	75%-84%																
1	65%-74%																
.5	55% -64%																
0	<55%																
2.4d	PRIORITIZE SEVERITY OF NEED: Percent with a history of Any type Victimization		<table><tr><th>Points</th><th></th></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>85%</td></tr><tr><td>1.5</td><td>75%-84%</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>65%-74%</td></tr><tr><td>.5</td><td>55% -64%</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td><55%</td></tr></table>	Points		2	85%	1.5	75%-84%	1	65%-74%	.5	55% -64%	0	<55%	Data Source: APR	APR Q14a- column B, line 2 divided by total in line 6 of column B.
Points																	
2	85%																
1.5	75%-84%																
1	65%-74%																
.5	55% -64%																
0	<55%																
3. GRANT MANAGEMENT– 33 POINTS																	
3.1	UNIT UTILIZATION: Average unit utilization	95% PSH/RRH/ TH	<table><tr><th>Points</th><th></th></tr><tr><td>10</td><td>95% - 100%</td></tr><tr><td>7</td><td>90% - 94%</td></tr><tr><td>5</td><td>80% - 89%</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>70% - 79%</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td><70%</td></tr></table>	Points		10	95% - 100%	7	90% - 94%	5	80% - 89%	3	70% - 79%	0	<70%	Data Source: APR	APR- Q8b, sum and average of the 4 one night counts
Points																	
10	95% - 100%																
7	90% - 94%																
5	80% - 89%																
3	70% - 79%																
0	<70%																
3.2a	COST EFFECTIVENESS: Amount of grant funds per household served		<table><tr><th>Points</th><th></th></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>85%</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>75%</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>65%</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td><55%</td></tr></table>	Points		3	85%	2	75%	1	65%	0	<55%	APR financial information,	APR - from your most recent completed APR year, \$ amount spent divided by number of households served		
Points																	
3	85%																
2	75%																
1	65%																
0	<55%																

2023 Luzerne CoC – PA-503 CoC Renewal Project Scoring Standards

#	Renewal Evaluation Criteria	2023 Benchmarks	Points	Notes	Project Data Calculation										
3.2b	<p>COST EFFECTIVENESS – COST PER POSITIVE EXIT:</p> <p>RRH/PSH - Average cost per household that remains or exits to Permanent Housing destination.</p> <p>TH/SSO - Average cost per exit to Permanent Housing destination.</p>	<p>Projects with the lowest average costs will be in the top.</p> <p>Projects with highest average costs will be in the lowest.</p>	<p>MAX POINTS = 3</p> <table><tr><td>Points</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>85%</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>75%</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>65%</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td><55%</td></tr></table>	Points		3	85%	2	75%	1	65%	0	<55%	APR financial information,	Data source- grant amount divided by total number of Households served in APR year
Points															
3	85%														
2	75%														
1	65%														
0	<55%														
3.3	<p>GRANT DRAWDOWN RATE:</p> <p>At least quarterly drawdowns of grant funds from HUD</p>	<p>At least 1 Drawdown from eLOCCs per quarter</p>	<p>MAX POINTS = 3</p> <table><tr><td>Points</td><td>PSH/RRH/TH</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>Yes</td></tr></table>	Points	PSH/RRH/TH	3	Yes	Data Source: eLOCCS Summary Report for most recently completed grant year	Yes or No? from LOCCS* report						
Points	PSH/RRH/TH														
3	Yes														
3.4a	<p>EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS:</p> <p>Percentage of grant funds expended</p>	85%	<p>MAX POINTS = 5</p> <table><tr><td>Points</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>5</td><td>85%</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>75%</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>65%</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td><55%</td></tr></table>	Points		5	85%	3	75%	2	65%	0	<55%	Data Source: eLOCCS Summary Report for most recently completed grant year	<p>spending from most recent APR year and total grant amount approved.</p> <p>Not applicable for CSS St. Hedwig since no leasing budget as project is project based.</p>
Points															
5	85%														
3	75%														
2	65%														
0	<55%														
3.4b	<p>LEASING/RENTAL ASSISTANCE</p> <p>EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS</p>	85%-PSH/RRH	<p>MAX POINTS = 5</p> <table><tr><td>Points</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>5</td><td>85%</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>75%</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>65%</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td><55%</td></tr></table>	Points		5	85%	3	75%	2	65%	0	<55%	Data Source: eLOCCS Summary Report for most recently completed grant year	Amount of leasing/rental assistance expended divided by amount leasing/rental assistance in contract
Points															
5	85%														
3	75%														
2	65%														
0	<55%														

2023 Luzerne CoC – PA-503 CoC Renewal Project Scoring Standards

#	Renewal Evaluation Criteria	2023 Benchmarks	Points	Notes	Project Data Calculation								
3.5	HMIS: DATA QUALITY INFORMATION	<5%	<div>MAX POINTS = 2</div> <table><tr><th>Points</th><td></td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>0%-3.5%</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>3.5%-5%</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>>5%</td></tr></table>	Points		2	0%-3.5%	1	3.5%-5%	0	>5%	Data Source: APR	APR Q6a, 6b, 6c, 6d
Points													
2	0%-3.5%												
1	3.5%-5%												
0	>5%												
3.6	HUD MONITORING: Disposition of HUD Monitoring and Findings	No monitoring, no findings if monitored, or monitoring findings have been resolved within last 2 years.	<div>0 points: Within last 2 years, no monitoring, no findings if monitored, or monitoring findings have been resolved</div> <div>-5 points: Monitored within last 2 years and findings unresolved</div>	Source: Supplementary Renewal Project Data Form Provision of HUD Monitoring Report and Response to Findings over the past 2 years	Copy of any HUD correspondence regarding monitoring within last 2 years								
3.7	TIMELY SUBMISSION OF APR: APR submitted within required time	Yes/No	<div>MAX POINTS = 2</div> <table><tr><th>Points</th><td></td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>Yes</td></tr></table>	Points		2	Yes	Data Source: Sage Submissions	SAGE printout per agency/program from Administrator				
Points													
2	Yes												

2023 Luzerne CoC – PA-503 CoC Renewal Project Scoring Standards

4. PROJECTS DEDICATED TO SERVING VICTIMS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE – 4 POINTS					
4.1	POLICIES/PROTOCOLS/PROCEDURES IN PLACE TO IMPROVE CLIENT SAFETY:	Yes/No	MAX POINTS = 2 Points 2 Yes 0 No	Data Source: Description provided in 2018 Renewal Project Summary Form; Documentation of policies, protocols or procedures.	DVSC Comments
4.2	SAFETY PLANNING:	Yes/No	MAX POINTS = 2 Points PSH/RR H/TH/SS O 2 Yes 0 No	Data Source: Description provided in 2018 Renewal Project Summary Form; Documentation of policies, protocol or procedures.	DVSC Comments
5. THRESHOLD					
5.1	HOUSING FIRST: The project follows a Housing First approach	Yes or No	Must be answered yes in application. No, not eligible for renewal.	Data Source: FY2018 Renewal Application	Yes or No? as was answered in 2022 CoC application
5.2	Chronic Prioritization in PSH	Yes or No	% of beds prioritized for chronic homeless	Data Source: FY2018 Renewal Application	# prioritized in 2022 CoC application
5.3	PROJECT PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY: The project only accepts eligible households prioritized through Coordinated Entry	Yes or No	If no, project is not eligible for renewal	Data Source: Agency verbal Certification	Agency Certification

2023 Luzerne CoC – PA-503 CoC Renewal Project Scoring Standards

The following policies apply to projects dedicated to serving those fleeing from Domestic Violence:

- For DV projects, an APR data report will need to be run by the agency from their HMIS-equivalent data management system and submitted with the 2022 Renewal Project Summary Form. For DV programs unable to generate an APR through a database, a manually completed version of the APR tables used for scoring must be submitted.
- Only DV projects will be scored on Section 4 criteria, related to client safety and safety planning.

Maximum Number of Points:

PSH/RRRH = 85;

TH =90;

SSO, non DVSC = 70;

SSO, DVSC = 74